



A novel W-doped Ni-Mg mixed oxide catalyst for CO₂ methanation



Yong Yan^a, Yihu Dai^a, Hong He^{b,c}, Yunbo Yu^{b,c,**}, Yanhui Yang^{a,*}

^a School of Chemical and Biomedical Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, 637459, Singapore

^b State Key Joint Laboratory of Environment Simulation and Pollution Control, Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100085, China

^c CAS Center for Excellence in Urban Atmospheric Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xiamen, 361021, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 24 November 2015

Received in revised form 8 May 2016

Accepted 9 May 2016

Available online 10 May 2016

Keywords:

CO₂ methanation

Ni-W-Mg mixed oxide

Ni-Mg interactions

Monodentate formate

ABSTRACT

Novel Ni-W-Mg mixed oxide catalysts (NiWMgO_x) were prepared by homogeneous precipitation and attempted for the methanation of CO₂. Adding W remarkably promoted the activity with improved stability, anti-CO-poisoning ability and resistance against coke formation compared to the undoped NiMgO_x catalyst. The superior reactivity of monodentate formate towards hydrogenation than that of bidentate formate species was identified by DRIFTS analysis and the formation of more active monodentate formate species was indisputably facilitated by W additives, leading to the greatly enhanced catalytic activity. H₂-TPR and CO₂-TPD characterization showed that doping W increased the number of stable CO₂ adsorption sites and helped in anchoring the Ni sites as a result of strengthened Ni-Mg interaction, both of which were responsible for the enhanced CO₂ methanation activity and the improved resistance against sintering.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The methanation of CO₂ casts a direction to recycle the atmospheric C and subsequently reduces the greenhouse gas effect. Several efforts have been devoted to the development of effective and stable catalysts for this particular reaction since early 20th century [1,2]. Supported noble metal catalysts, such as Ru [3–5], Rh [6–9] and Pd [10–12] are well known for their excellent activity and stability in CO₂ methanation under relatively mild reaction conditions. Nevertheless, the large-scale application of these catalysts has been limited by their high cost. As an alternative solution, non-noble transition metal catalysts (e.g., Ni, Co) have attracted increasing attention for their comparable methanation activity with highly improved cost-efficiency [13–16].

The challenge of using Ni-based catalysts in CO₂ methanation is to improve the low-temperature activity, not only for the purpose of energy saving, but also for the reason that high temperature reaction is limited by the chemical equilibrium. Applying novel support materials such as metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) is one of the solutions, which can greatly improve the low-temperature per-

formance for Ni-based catalysts [17]. However, the conventional metal oxides remain as the most promising support to optimize Ni-based catalysts for CO₂ methanation because of their high thermal stability and low cost [18]. Among those, MgO shows the potential because the strong interaction between Ni species and MgO favors the formation of highly dispersed small Ni particles, which contributes to the great enhancement of CO₂ methanation activities [13,16,19,20]. Further modifications of the surface properties can be achieved by controlling the formation of 'ideal' Ni-Mg solid solution [21,22]. Ni-MgO nanoparticles encapsulated by porous silica shell, reveals a remarkable improvement in CO₂ conversion with high stability compared to the encapsulated NiO without MgO [23]. To the contrary, the presence of MgO is considered to lower the methanation activity due to the poor reducibility of Ni-Mg solid solution [24]. These two apparently contradictory results indicate that the fine-tuning of the interaction between Ni species and MgO support plays an essential role in optimizing the performance of Ni-Mg catalysts for CO₂ methanation.

On the other hand, improvement of the long-term stability of Ni-based catalysts in CO₂ methanation at high temperature requires more efforts. The deactivation of catalysts by coke formation and sintering of metal particles is accelerated when a large amount of heat is released as the exothermal reaction further proceeds [16,24,25]. Besides, the poison effect of CO via the formation and the migration of mobile Ni(CO)₄ species on catalyst surface during the methanation reaction cannot be ruled out [15,26]. Nevertheless, several researchers substantiated that the formation of Ni-Mg

* Corresponding author.

** Corresponding author at: State Key Joint Laboratory of Environment Simulation and Pollution Control, Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100085, China.

E-mail addresses: ybyu@rcees.ac.cn (Y. Yu), yanhui.yang@live.com, yhyang@ntu.edu.sg (Y. Yang).

solid solution was beneficial for the resistance against deactivation over MgO supported Ni catalysts [20]. For instance, there are two types of NiO species in MgO doped Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst: the ‘free’ NiO and highly dispersed NiO species. However, only the latter one can prevent the agglomeration of Ni crystallites and improve the catalytic activity and stability due to the strong interaction between NiO species and MgO additives [27]. Similar results were reported by Wang et al. [28], who synthesized a durable Ni/MgO catalysts for the CO₂ reforming of methane with remarkable resistance to sintering and coking. The stability enhancement over their catalysts was attributed to the ‘anchoring’ of metallic Ni by surface defects on the support, which were formed at the vicinity of the reduction sites of Ni species located in the Ni-Mg solid solution. Furthermore, the incorporation of a third component, such as Ru [23], Sr [29], Co [30] has been investigated to improve the stability of Ni-based catalysts for CO₂ methanation. Recently, a synergistic effect between Ni and W in mixed Ni-W-Ce oxide catalysts has been reported, which displayed significant effectiveness in minimizing the coke formation and stabilizing the metal particles for ethanol steam reforming [31].

In this study, a series of W-doped Ni-Mg mixed oxide powder catalysts were prepared by a homogeneous precipitation method and attempted in CO₂ methanation. Ni₁W_aMgO_x with Ni:W:Mg molar ratio being 1:1:1 exhibited both the excellent methanation activity and CH₄ selectivity. The stability test at 400 °C for 100 h revealed only a negligible activity loss for Ni₁W_aMgO_x. The promotion effect of adding W to the Ni-Mg mixed oxide catalyst was further investigated by *in situ* DRIFTS, CO₂-TPD and H₂-TPR.

2. Experimental

2.1. Catalyst preparation and activity test

During a typical procedure for the homogenous precipitation preparation, desired amounts of (NH₄)₁₀W₁₂O₄₁, (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄·4H₂O, Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O, Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, Mn(NO₃)₂·4H₂O or Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O was firstly dissolved in water (H₂C₂O₄·2H₂O was applied for dissolving (NH₄)₁₀W₁₂O₄₁ and (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄·4H₂O), followed by mixing with an aqueous solution of Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O and Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O. Subsequently, excessive urea aqueous solution was added into the mixed solution. The solution was heated to 90 °C and held for 12 h under constant stirring. After filtering and washing with deionized water, the resulting precipitant was dried at 100 °C overnight and calcined at 500 °C for 4 h in air. For comparison, Ni_aMgO_x (a = 0.5, 0.8, 1.0, 1.2) catalyst was also prepared following the same procedure.

Prior to the activity tests, 100 mg of catalyst was *in situ* reduced in a H₂ gas flow of 30 mL/min at 500 °C for 60 min in a fix-bed quartz tube micro-reactor. The catalytic activity measurements were carried out at atmospheric pressure by passing a gaseous mixture of CO₂ (5%) and H₂ (20%) in He balance at a total flow rate of 100 mL/min (GHSV ~ 40,000 h⁻¹). The inlet and outlet flows were analyzed by an on-line gas chromatograph (Agilent 6890) equipped with both a FID and a TCD detector. The sampling data were collected when reaction reached a steady state (60–120 min after reaching the desired reaction temperature).

2.2. Characterizations

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a Bruker Advance 8 X-ray diffractometer using a Ni filtered Cu K α radiation (λ = 0.154 nm), operated at 40 kV and 40 mA. XRD data were collected between 10 and 90° (2 θ) with a resolution of 0.02° (2 θ). The N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms were measured using a Quantachrome Autosorb-1C instrument at liquid N₂ tem-

perature (–196 °C). Prior to the N₂ physisorption, all the catalysts were outgassed at 300 °C for 5 h in vacuum. The specific surface areas were calculated by BET equation at P/P_0 in the partial pressure range of 0.05–0.35. Transmission electron microscope (TEM) images were obtained on a JEOL JEM-2100F which was operated at 200 kV.

In situ DRIFTS experiments were performed on an FTIR spectrometer (Nicolet is-50) equipped with a smart collector and an MCT/A detector cooled by liquid nitrogen. The temperature was controlled precisely by an Omega programmable temperature controller. Prior to each experiment, the sample was pretreated at 500 °C for 60 min in H₂ and then cooled down to 300 °C. The background spectra were collected in flowing Ar and automatically subtracted from the sample spectrum. The gas mixture was controlled as follows: 5% H₂, 20% CO₂ and Ar balance with total flow rate of 200 mL/min. All spectra were recorded by accumulating 100 scans with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹.

The H₂ chemisorption was carried out on a Quantachrome Automated chemisorption analyzer. Approximately 200 mg of catalyst was reduced in H₂ stream at 500 °C for 60 min, followed by Ar purge at 500 °C for 60 min. Then, the catalysts were cooled down to 30 °C for the H₂ chemisorption measurements. Coke deposits were determined on a PerkinElmer Diamond TG/DTA equipment. The spent catalysts was heated under N₂ stream up to 800 °C with a ramp of 10 °C/min.

CO₂-TPD experiments were performed using a Micromeritics Autochem II 2920 instrument equipped with a TCD detector. Prior to TPD experiments, the samples (100 mg) were pretreated at 500 °C in H₂ for 60 min and cooled down to room temperature. Then the sample was exposed to 20% CO₂/Ar for 60 min, followed by Ar purge for another 60 min. The temperature was raised to 500 °C at a ramp of 10 °C/min and the signals of CO₂ (m/z = 44 for CO₂) were recorded by a quadrupole mass spectrometer (MKS Cirrus).

H₂-TPR measurements for the catalyst samples were conducted on the same instruments as CO₂-TPD. After being pretreated under an air flow in a quartz U-tube reactor at 500 °C for 60 min, the samples were cooled down to room temperature in Ar flow. Then 10% H₂/Ar was introduced to pass through the catalyst bed until a stable TCD signal was observed. Subsequently, a temperature ramping program from room temperature to 1000 °C at rate of 10 °C/min was performed, and the H₂ consumption was monitored by TCD after the removal of H₂O.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. CO₂ methanation activity of catalysts with different Ni/Mg molar ratio

CO₂ conversions over NiO, MgO and Ni_aMgO_x (a = 0.5, 0.8, 1.0, 1.2) catalysts along with reference Ni/MgO(imp) (synthesized with the same metal composition as Ni_{1.0}MgO_x) prepared by impregnation method were presented in Fig. 1. Ni_{0.5}MgO_x exhibited a relatively poor activity at temperature lower than 300 °C. Both Ni_{0.8}MgO_x and Ni_{1.0}MgO_x with higher Ni loadings showed enhanced activities towards CO₂ methanation, especially at low temperature. At 300 °C, the CO₂ conversion reached 70% over Ni_{1.0}MgO_x with 99% selectivity to CH₄. As a reference, Ni/MgO(imp) showed a poor CO₂ conversion less than 20% at the same temperature, implying that the homogeneous precipitation preparation method improved the dispersion of active Ni species on Ni-Mg mixed oxide catalysts for CO₂ methanation. However, further increasing Ni loading to Ni/Mg = 1.2 led to a noticeable decrease in CO₂ conversion, possibly due to the aggregation of surface Ni particles [17,32]. Pure NiO and MgO presented a significantly poor activity towards CO₂ methanation together with an inferior




Fig. 1. (A) CO₂ conversion and (B) CH₄ selectivity over catalysts with different Ni: Mg molar ratio. Dashed line – thermodynamic equilibrium conversion values. Conditions: CO₂ 5%, H₂ 20%, He as balance, GHSV ~ 40,000 h⁻¹.

selectivity to CH₄, indicating the essential role of the synergetic interaction between Ni and Mg for CO₂ methanation. Based on the activity measurements, the Ni_{1.0}MgO_x was chosen as the catalyst for further optimization.

3.2. CO₂ methanation activity over different transition metal doped catalysts

The NiMgO_x catalysts doped by different transition metals at the molar ratio of 1:1:1 including W, Mo, Fe, Co, Mn and Cu were synthesized by the same homogeneous precipitation method and tested for CO₂ methanation. As shown in Fig. 2, NiCuMgO_x showed an obviously different reaction pattern from other catalysts, with a higher reactivity for reversed water gas shift than methanation of CO₂. The addition of Mo into Ni-Mg mixed oxide resulted in a slight decrease of the low temperature activity. Mn- and Fe-doped catalysts showed a remarkably decreased CO₂ conversion than NiMgO_x and a significant decrease of selectivity to CH₄ was observed over NiFeMgO_x. In contrast, Co and W doping resulted in an enhancement of both CO₂ conversion and CH₄ selectivity. The corresponding CO₂ conversions at 300 °C over NiWMgO_x and NiCoMgO_x were 86% and 74% respectively. Compared to the




Fig. 2. (A) CO₂ conversion and (B) CH₄ selectivity over NiMgO_x catalysts doped by different transition metals. Dashed line – thermodynamic equilibrium conversion values. Conditions: CO₂ 5%, H₂ 20%, He as balance, GHSV ~ 40,000 h⁻¹.

conversion of 70% over undoped NiMgO_x catalysts, a significant improvement of catalytic activity was observed on NiWMgO_x, which was worth further discussing.

3.3. Influence of W dopant molar ratio

At the W: Ni molar ratio of 0.50, a slight increase of CO₂ conversion was observed compared to the undoped NiMgO_x (Fig. 3). Further increasing the W molar ratio in Ni-W-Mg to 0.75 and 1.00 improved the catalytic performance for CO₂ conversion. However, an apparent decline of CO₂ methanation activity was observed when the relative W addition ratio was increased to 1.25. Noticeably, the reference NiWO_x catalyst possessed a remarkably inferior activity for CO₂ methanation to NiWMgO_x, indicating that W cannot completely replace the role of Mg as the support for Ni catalysts. The maximum CO₂ conversion was attained when W: Ni molar ratio reached 1:1 in Ni-W-Mg oxide catalysts.

3.4. Influence of CO

CO was observed as an impurity for CO₂ in certain sources such as waste gas emission from power plants [33,34], the pres-




Fig. 3. CO₂ conversion over NiW_bMgO_x ($b = 0.50, 0.75, 1.00, 1.25$) catalysts. Dashed line – thermodynamic equilibrium conversion values. Conditions: CO₂ 5%, H₂ 20%, He as balance, GHSV $\sim 40,000 \text{ h}^{-1}$.




Fig. 4. CO₂ conversion over NiMgO_x and NiWMgO_x in the presence of CO and stability test result (insert). Stability test is ran at 275 °C. Dashed line – thermodynamic equilibrium conversion values. Conditions: CO₂ 5%, H₂ 23%, CO 1%, He as balance, GHSV $\sim 40,000 \text{ h}^{-1}$.

ence of which was reported to inhibit the methanation of CO₂ by strong interaction with the surface of Ni particles [35]. Fig. 4 showed the catalytic activity of NiMgO_x and NiWMgO_x with 1% CO in the gas feeding for CO₂ methanation. A small downshift of CO₂ conversion at temperature lower than 350 °C was found for both catalysts while the high temperature activity remained almost intact, suggesting that the mixed oxide catalysts suffered from confined inhibition effects by the interactions between CO and Ni surface. Apart from the influence mentioned above, the formation of mobile Ni carbonyl species and subsequently growth of Ni particles at low temperature by the facilitation of CO was also the main cause for the deactivation of Ni-based catalysts [15]. Thus, the long-term performances of both samples in the presence




Fig. 5. Stability test result of NiMgO_x and NiWMgO_x catalysts, with activity comparison between spent catalysts after 100 h on stream and fresh catalysts (insert). Dashed line – thermodynamic equilibrium conversion values. Conditions: 400 °C, CO₂ 5%, H₂ 20%, He as balance, GHSV $\sim 40,000 \text{ h}^{-1}$.

of 1% CO were studied at 275 °C for 100 h. CO addition resulted in an immediate decrease of approximately 6% in CO₂ conversion over both NiMgO_x and NiWMgO_x, 45% to 38% and 67% to 61% respectively, a consequence of the competitive adsorption of CO. Nonetheless, after exposing to CO-containing reaction gas for 80 h, the CO₂ conversion over NiMgO_x drastically decreased from 38% to 22%, while NiWMgO_x showed almost no deactivation with CO₂ conversion remaining above 60% for up to 100 h. The fact that NiWMgO_x demonstrated excellent activity and anti-CO-poisoning ability indicated NiWMgO_x was also of great promise in applications for methanation of CO₂ from realistic CO-containing sources.

3.5. Catalysts stability

The primary obstacle to the application of Ni-based catalysts for methanation is the deactivation at high temperature as a result of sintering of active species. Thus, both NiMgO_x and NiWMgO_x were tested at 400 °C in the same reaction gas used above for 100 h. Prior to the stability test, the catalyst was also *in situ* reduced at 500 °C under H₂ stream for 60 min. As shown in Fig. 5, no activity loss occurred for NiWMgO_x during the long-term test at 400 °C and the selectivity remained at around 98%. In contrast, a decrease in CO₂ conversion from 78% to 68% was observed over NiMgO_x. After the stability test, the CO₂ methanation activities of the spent catalysts, denoted as NiMgO_x-s and NiWMgO_x-s, were subsequently tested repeatedly (as displayed in Fig. 5). No obvious change of CO₂ conversion over NiWMgO_x-s was inspected at each temperature point compared to the freshly prepared catalyst, while NiMgO_x-s demonstrated a significant activity loss in contrast to the fresh NiMgO_x. These results indicated that doping W greatly enhanced the stability of Ni-Mg mixed oxide catalyst, the reasons of which will be further discussed below.

3.6. In situ DRIFTS study

The surface intermediates during CO₂ methanation over NiMgO_x and NiWMgO_x were studied by *in situ* DRIFTS at 300 °C. As presented in Fig. 6, the most predominant bands formed were the sharp peak at 1603 cm⁻¹ and the broad one centered at 1511 cm⁻¹ after passing H₂ and CO₂ for 60 min over NiMgO_x. It also




Fig. 6. *In situ* DRIFTS of CO₂ methanation (CO₂ + H₂) at 300 °C.

should be noted that two shoulder peaks at 1368 and 1343 cm⁻¹ were observed on top of the large hump centered at 1380 cm⁻¹. The appearance of characteristic bands of methane at 1301 and 3010 cm⁻¹ (results not shown) were in good accordance with the excellent activity. Introduction of CO₂ and H₂ to NiWMgO_x for 60 min resulted in the appearance of another new shoulder peak at 1624 cm⁻¹ in addition to those peaks mentioned above, which was probably too weak to be observed over NiMgO_x as it was covered by the strong peak at 1603 cm⁻¹. In addition, evidently stronger bands at 1603 and 1368 cm⁻¹ were observed over NiWMgO_x than those on undoped NiMgO_x.

Several formate and carbonate species were observed over Ni-based catalysts in the DRIFTS spectra between 1700 and 1200 cm⁻¹ during the methanation of CO₂ [34,36,37]. For formate species over Ni-based catalysts, the adsorption configuration is distinguished by the frequency difference ($\Delta\nu$) between $\nu_s(\text{OCO})$ and $\nu_{as}(\text{OCO})$. A $\Delta\nu$ larger than 250 cm⁻¹ often indicated the formation of monodentate configuration, while a $\Delta\nu$ less than or close to 250 cm⁻¹ was ascribed to the bidentate formate species [32,37,38]. Thus, in this study, the bands at 1624 and 1343 cm⁻¹ were assigned to the $\nu_s(\text{OCO})$ and $\nu_{as}(\text{OCO})$ of monodentate formate species and the bands at 1603 and 1368 cm⁻¹ were ascribed to the bidentate formate species, correspondingly. For the assignment of the bands at 1511, 1445 and 1380 cm⁻¹, different kinds of carbonates have been proposed, including monodentate carbonate [39], bidentate carbonate [36,37] and tridentate carbonate [40]. In the presence of H₂, carbonates can be hydrogenated into formate species and further participated in the methane production [11,34]. Also the direct decomposition of carbonates has been proposed in this process, resulting in the possible formation of CO besides from CO₂, which then followed the reaction pathway of the methanation of CO to yield methane [41]. However, no observance of CO(ads) in our study suggested that the CO₂ methanation reaction pathway over NiWMgO_x involved the hydrogenation of carbonates species into formate species other than the direct decomposition of carbonates [42,43]. Similar reaction pathway has also been reported for Pd-Mg/SiO₂ [11], NiUSY zeolites [34] and Ni/Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ [36]. Thus, the carbonates species can serve as the precursors for the formate species during methanation of CO₂. As key intermediates for




Fig. 7. (A) Dynamic changes of *in situ* DRIFTS spectra over NiWMgO_x as a function of time in a flow of H₂ at 300 °C after being exposed to a flow of CO₂ + H₂ for 60 min at 300 °C. (B) Time dependence of the relative peak area ratio to the initial peak area of monodentate formate and bidentate formate species.

CO₂ methanation, the reactivity of different formate species was studied by several groups. Pan et al. [36,37] recently found that the monodentate formate species reacted more rapidly with H₂ than the bidentate formate species and further contributed to the enhanced CO₂ methanation activity. Inspired by this, in this study, we will focus on the role of formate species, which is derived from the hydrogenation of active carbonates in the methanation of CO₂. Thus these three peaks at 1511, 1445 and 1380 cm⁻¹ were generally ascribed to the carbonates family.

To obtain the reactivities of these surface species towards H₂ over NiWMgO_x, the reaction gas was switched to 20% H₂/Ar after exposure to CO₂ + H₂ for 60 min, and the resulted spectra were presented in Fig. 7A. The pair peaks at 1624 and 1343 cm⁻¹ nearly vanished in 5 min simultaneously after switching the reaction gas to H₂/Ar, which confirmed the assignment of these two peaks to the same species of monodentate formate. A similar trend of syn-

chronized decrease in intensity was observed for the two bands of bidentate formate at 1603 and 1368 cm^{-1} . Besides, a relatively slower decrease of the peaks due to the carbonates family was observed with no appearance of new peaks, suggesting that carbonates species reacted with H_2 to form formate species [37,41–43]. In order to quantitatively compare the change of these two surface formate species mentioned above, the spectra in the range of 1250–1900 cm^{-1} were converted into Kubelka-Munk function and fitted on the basis of the assignments above. The peak areas in the Kubelka-Munk function after the desired time of reaction with H_2/Ar were further defined as A, and the initial areas as A_0 . Fig. 7B showed the peak area ratio A/A_0 of 1624 and 1603 cm^{-1} as a function of time-on-stream after exposure to 20% H_2/Ar . Switching the feed gas to H_2 resulted in a significant decrease of approximately 90% monodentate formate species in the first 5 min whereas the peak area of surface bidentate formate species only decreased 50% on the same time scale. After reacting with H_2 for 30 min, the bands of monodentate formate species were decreased by 95% compared to only 60% for the peak at 1603 cm^{-1} of bidentate formate species. These results confirmed that the monodentate formate was more active toward H_2 than the bidentate formate species over NiWMgO_x . The W addition in Ni-Mg mixed oxide catalysts unambiguously facilitated the formation of monodentate formate species, which should be a key factor in the enhanced CO_2 methanation activity.

3.7. Characterization results

The XRD patterns of the as-calcined and reduced NiMgO_x and NiWMgO_x samples along with NiO and MgO as references were shown in Fig. 8A. The characteristic reflections at 37.3°, 43.4°, 62.9°, 75.5° and 79.4° for NiO and the reflections at 37.2°, 43.2°, 62.4°, 74.8° and 78.9° for MgO were observed, corresponding to (111), (200), (220), (311) and (222) of the face-centered cubic (fcc) NiO and MgO phase, respectively. Distinct peaks at 37.2°, 43.2°, 62.7°, 75.2° and 79.2° were observed on calcined NiMgO_x and NiWMgO_x and all peaks were located close to or in between the same kind of diffraction peaks of NiO and MgO . For instance, as shown in Fig. 8B, the (220) peak of NiO (62.9°) and MgO (62.4°) shifted towards each other to merge into a single peak at 62.7°, implying the formation of solid solution phase in NiMgO_x and NiWMgO_x [23]. After reduction in a flow of H_2 for 60 min at 500 °C, sharp peaks ascribed to metallic Ni at 44.4° (111), 51.8° (200) and 76.4° (220) appeared and the peaks assigned to Ni-Mg solid solution were still discerned for both catalysts (denoted as NiMgO_{x-r} and NiWMgO_{x-r} , respectively). No peaks assigned to W species were observed in the XRD patterns of NiWMgO_x , neither on the calcined sample nor on the reduced one, suggesting that W species were highly dispersed in NiMgO_x matrix in stabilized state. NiMgO_x and NiWMgO_x have been characterized by TEM to show the morphologies. It can be seen that the TEM image of NiMgO_x in Fig. 9A consisted of nanosheets with varying particle sizes, from 15 nm to 35 nm. No appearance of metal particles suggested that a homogeneous Ni-Mg solid solution was formed after the calcination. W addition resulted in a decrease of the size of the nanosheets (~10 nm) with no discernable agglomeration of Ni or W particles, indicating that W has entered the solid solution matrix, as shown in Fig. 9B. Energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) elemental mapping (Fig. S1) clearly showed that the distribution of Ni and Mg elements was relatively homogeneous in NiMgO_x . Similar trends were observed for Ni, W and Mg elements in NiWMgO_x (Fig. S2). These results suggested that the catalysts consisted of metallic Ni particles, dispersed W species and Ni-Mg solid solution support under high-temperature reduction atmosphere after being reduced in H_2 at 500 °C. The spent catalysts after the stability test were calcined in air for 60 min at 500 °C and characterized by XRD. It should be noted that the reflection of (220) peak of NiMgO_{x-r}




Fig. 8. (A) XRD patterns and (B) enlargement of patterns in the range of 61°–64° for NiMgO_x and NiWMgO_x catalysts. (NiMgO_{x-s} and NiWMgO_{x-s} : The spent catalysts after the stability test were re-calcined in air for 60 min at 500 °C).

s (62.8°) has slightly shifted closer towards the same diffraction peak of NiO (62.9°), suggesting a possible structural change of the solid solution. As a comparison, the diffraction peaks of NiWMgO_{x-s} remained almost unchanged compared to the fresh catalysts.

As shown in Table 1, similar BET surface areas (about 50 m^2/g) were obtained over as-calcined NiMgO_x and NiWMgO_x , whereas a slight decrease (48.94 m^2/g to 45.37 m^2/g) in the surface area was observed on NiMgO_{x-s} catalyst after the stability test. The metallic surface area of both fresh and spent catalysts was determined by H_2 chemisorption after reduction at 500 °C for 60 min, as listed in Table 1. The metallic surface area of NiMgO_{x-s} decreased from 7.01 m^2/g to 3.94 m^2/g after the stability test, compared to the negligible variations for NiWMgO_{x-s} , 7.62 m^2/g –7.55 m^2/g . Inspired by Aziz et al. [25], TGA analysis was carried out under a N_2 flow to estimate the coke content formed during the stability test. The reduced fresh catalysts were studied under the same condition and used as references (Fig. S3). The results showed that a 3.8% coke content was formed on NiMgO_{x-s} while the coke formation on NiWMgO_{x-s} was below detectable level.

To further reveal the change of surface properties during the stability test, CO_2 -TPD was carried out for the fresh and spent catalysts of both NiMgO_x and NiWMgO_x to determine the basic strength and




Fig. 9. TEM images of (A) NiMgO_x and (B) NiWMgO_x catalysts.

CO₂ adsorption capacity (Fig. 10). For all the catalysts tested, two strong CO₂ desorption peaks, a sharp peak at 113 °C and a broad one centered at 198 °C, were identified. The former peak was attributed to the desorption of CO₂ from weak basic sites, probably Mg sites and unreduced Ni sites on the solid solution support, while the latter one could be assigned to desorption of CO₂ that adsorbed




Fig. 10. CO₂-TPD profiles of both fresh and spent NiMgO_x and NiWMgO_x catalysts.

near or on the Ni particles with medium basicity [19,44]. The weak and medium basic sites are both beneficial for the activation of CO₂ while the CO₂ adsorbed on strong basic sites cannot participate in the methanation reaction [37]. *Operando* IR studies over NiUSY zeolites showed that both the CO₂ adsorbed on the weak basic sites of the support and the CO₂ adsorbed on the Ni particles can react with the atomic H to form formate species and further contribute to the production of methane [34]. Similar results were reported by Pan et al. over Ni/Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ [36]. Thus, the total amount of CO₂ adsorption over each sample was estimated from the area under CO₂-TPD peaks and listed in Table 1. The volume of CO₂ desorbed from NiWMgO_x was almost twice of that from NiMgO_x, 102.3 μmol/g to 50.9 μmol/g, indicating that W addition prominently increased the amount of surface basic sites. After stability test, the NiWMgO_x-s showed almost the same CO₂ adsorption volume as the fresh one, while NiMgO_x-s had only 42.5 μmol/g CO₂ uptake compared to 50.9 μmol/g for the fresh NiMgO_x catalyst. Therefore, the W addition not only increased the amount of CO₂ adsorption sites but also remarkably promoted the stability of those sites according to the CO₂-TPD detection.

Fig. 11 displayed the H₂-TPR profiles of both NiMgO_x and NiWMgO_x along with the spent samples in stability test. Prior to the reduction by H₂, samples were *in situ* pretreated in air at 500 °C for 60 min. A prominent H₂ consumption peak at 391 °C was clearly identified for fresh NiMgO_x, which was attributed to the reduction of Ni located at the outermost layer of the support [45,46]. The small peak at around 757 °C could be assigned to the reduction of Ni²⁺ located deep in the lattice of the Ni-Mg solid solution [27]. After the stability test, a small shoulder peak appeared at 290 °C over NiMgO_x, referring to the reduction of ‘unsupported’ free NiO, accompanied by the obvious decrease of the area under the peak at 391 °C. The change of H₂-TPR profile of NiMgO_x-s indicated that the

Table 1

BET surface area, metallic surface area, coke content and CO₂ adsorption volume of both the fresh and spent catalysts.

Sample	BET surface area (m ² /g)	Metallic surface area ^a (m ² /g)	Coke content ^b (%)	CO ₂ adsorption volume ^c (μmol/g)
NiMgO _x	48.94	7.01	–	50.9
NiMgO _x -s	45.37	3.94	3.8	42.5
NiWMgO _x	52.83	7.62	–	102.3
NiWMgO _x -s	52.41	7.55	0.0	101.1

^a Obtained from the H₂ chemisorption results.

^b Determined from TGA analysis. Calculated as (g of deposits)/(g of calcined sample) × 100%.

^c Estimated from CO₂-TPD results.




Fig. 11. H_2 -TPR profiles of both fresh and spent $NiMgO_x$ and $NiWMgO_x$ catalysts.

Ni species in the outmost layer of the solid solution lost their interaction with the support during the stability test, resulting in the formation of free NiO and probably further sintering of Ni particle, which could be the main cause of the deactivation of $NiMgO_x$ catalysts. The formation of free NiO agreed well with the XRD results of $NiMgO_x$ -s. On the other hand, the H_2 -TPR profile of fresh $NiWMgO_x$ showed a H_2 consumption bulge between 300–900 °C along with a crest at 757 °C, which ascribed to the reduction of Ni species having different interaction strength with the support of Ni-Mg solid solution. The continuous H_2 consumption at the high temperature region pointed out that a diversity of Ni species were formed as a result of diffusion of NiO into the support after the W addition, from the outmost layer to subsurface layer and then to the deep lattice. It also has been reported that $NiWO_4$ can be formed over Ni-W-Al mixed oxide by calcination at 1000 °C, which can be reduced by H_2 at approximately 800 °C [47]. However, the assignment of the peak at around 757 °C to Ni species located deep in the lattice of the solid solution was well supported by the lack of $NiWO_4$ peaks in the XRD patterns and the fact that a much lower calcination temperature was used in this study. No appearance of new peaks for the $NiWMgO_x$ -s indicated that no change occurred in the interaction between Ni and the solid solution support during the stability test for W doped Ni-Mg mixed oxide catalysts.

After being pretreated in H_2 at 500 °C for 60 min, the NiO species in the outmost layer, which less strongly interacted with the support, and NiO species of moderate interaction strength in subsurface layer and a part of NiO species located in deep lattice of Ni-Mg solid solution can be reduced to active metallic Ni [45,48]. The reduction of those NiO species left different amounts of defects in accordance with their interaction strength with the support, which then became the anchoring sites for the Ni particles [28,31]. Compared to the rest two kinds, NiO in the outmost layer with less anchoring sites was more fragile and more sensitive to structure change, as demonstrated by the transformation of this

species into free Ni on $NiMgO_x$ after stability test, the sintering of which was responsible for the activity loss.

During the methanation over $NiWMgO_x$ catalysts, CO_2 can adsorb on Mg sites on the solid solution support [11], and probably also Ni sites [34], while H_2 was dissociated on metallic Ni particles [36]. The atomic H then reacted with the adsorbed CO_2 species to form formate species [37]. W doping promoted the formation of active monodentate formate species, leading to an enhanced methanation activity. Furthermore, as shown in the H_2 -TPR profiles of $NiWMgO_x$, the addition of W gave rise to an enhancement of the diffusion of NiO into the Ni-Mg solid solution, which increased the anchoring sites for the reduced metallic Ni, resulted in a strong resistance against sintering and a promoted stability of $NiWMgO_x$.

4. Conclusions

The methanation of CO_2 was performed over a novel $NiWMgO_x$ catalyst with W species highly dispersed in Ni-Mg solid solution matrix. Superior catalytic performance with CO_2 conversion of 83% and CH_4 selectivity of 99% was obtained at a low temperature (300 °C). $NiWMgO_x$ catalyst also possessed a great anti-CO-poison ability and a strong resistance against coke formation, demonstrating a long lifetime in the highly exothermic atmosphere of CO_2 methanation, over which indiscernible activity loss was observed during the stability test performed for 100 h either in the presence of 1% CO gas or at a high temperature of 400 °C. *In situ* DRIFTS spectra revealed that the formation of monodentate formate species as active intermediates during CO_2 methanation process was facilitated by W-modified surface with comparison to Ni-Mg mixed oxide catalysts, which subsequently contributed to a significantly enhanced catalytic activity. Moreover, the W species played a critical role in promoting the formation of the surface CO_2 adsorption sites and enhancing their stability, according to CO_2 -TPR results. Besides, H_2 -TPR demonstrated that, with the aid of W addition, Ni-Mg interaction was strengthened via favoring the anchoring of the active Ni species and resistance to their sintering, leading to a largely enhanced stability of $NiWMgO_x$ catalyst.

Acknowledgement

This project is funded by the National Research Foundation (NRF), Prime Minister's Office, Singapore under its Campus for Research Excellence and Technological Enterprise (CREATE) program.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2016.05.016>.

References

- [1] P. Sabatier, J.B. Senderens, CR Acad. Sci. Paris 134 (1902) 514.
- [2] S. Tada, R. Kikuchi, Catal. Sci. Technol. 5 (2015) 3061–3070.
- [3] Y. Zhu, S. Zhang, Y. Ye, X. Zhang, L. Wang, W. Zhu, F. Cheng, F. Tao, ACS Catal. 2 (2012) 2403–2408.
- [4] D. Theleritis, S. Souentie, A. Siokou, A. Katsaounis, C.G. Vayenas, ACS Catal. 2 (2012) 770–780.
- [5] A.M. Abdel-Mageed, S. Eckle, R.J. Behm, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137 (2015) 8672–8675.
- [6] C. Swalus, M. Jacquemin, C. Poleunis, P. Bertrand, P. Ruiz, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 125 (2012) 41–50.
- [7] A. Karelavic, P. Ruiz, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 113 (2012) 237–249.
- [8] A. Beuls, C. Swalus, M. Jacquemin, G. Heyen, A. Karelavic, P. Ruiz, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 113 (2012) 2–10.
- [9] J.C. Matsubu, V.N. Yang, P. Christopher, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137 (2015) 3076–3084.
- [10] A. Karelavic, P. Ruiz, ACS Catal. 3 (2013) 2799–2812.

- [11] J.N. Park, E.W. McFarland, *J. Catal.* 266 (2009) 92–97.
- [12] A. Erdohelyi, M. Pasztor, F. Solymosi, *J. Catal.* 98 (1986) 166–177.
- [13] N. Takezawa, H. Terunuma, M. Shimokawabe, H. Kobayashib, *Appl. Catal.* 23 (1986) 291–298.
- [14] J. Liu, C. Li, F. Wang, S. He, H. Chen, Y. Zhao, M. Wei, D.G. Evans, X. Duan, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 3 (2013) 2627–2633.
- [15] M. Agnelli, M. Kolb, C. Mirodatos, *J. Catal.* 148 (1994) 9–21.
- [16] M. Guo, G. Lu, *Catal. Commun.* 54 (2014) 55–60.
- [17] W. Zhen, B. Li, G. Lu, J. Ma, *Chem. Commun.* 51 (2015) 1728–1731.
- [18] G.D. Weatherbee, C.H. Bartholomew, *J. Catal.* 77 (1982) 460–472.
- [19] M. Tan, X. Wang, X. Wang, X. Zou, W. Ding, X. Lu, *J. Catal.* 329 (2015) 151–166.
- [20] T. Nakayama, N. Ichikuni, S. Sato, F. Nozaki, *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* 158 (1997) 185–199.
- [21] F. Arena, B.A. Horrell, D.L. Cocke, A. Parmaliana, N. Giordano, *J. Catal.* 132 (1991) 58–67.
- [22] A. Parmaliana, F. Arena, F. Frusteri, S. Coluccia, L. Marchese, G. Martra, A.L. Chuvilin, *J. Catal.* 141 (1993) 34–47.
- [23] Y. Li, G. Lu, J. Ma, *RSC Adv.* 4 (2014) 17420–17428.
- [24] M.A.A. Aziz, A.A. Jalil, S. Triwahyono, R.R. Mukti, Y.H. Taufiq-Yap, M.R. Sazegar, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 147 (2014) 359–368.
- [25] W. Zhen, B. Li, G. Lu, J. Ma, *RSC Adv.* 4 (2014) 16472–16479.
- [26] W.M. Shen, J.A. Dumesic, C.G. Hill Jr., *J. Catal.* 68 (1981) 152–165.
- [27] J. Liu, J. Yu, F. Su, G. Xu, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 4 (2014) 472–481.
- [28] Y. Wang, H. Liu, B. Xu, *J. Mol. Catal. A Chem.* 299 (2009) 44–52.
- [29] M. Guo, G. Lu, *RSC Adv.* 4 (2014) 58171–58177.
- [30] H. Zhu, R. Razzaq, C. Li, Y. Muhammad, S. Zhang, *AIChE J.* 59 (2013) 2567–2576.
- [31] Z. Liu, W. Xu, S. Yao, A.C. Johnson-Peck, F. Zhao, P. Michorczyk, A. Kubacka, E.A. Stach, M. Fernández-García, S.D. Senanayake, J.A. Rodriguez, *J. Catal.* 321 (2015) 90–99.
- [32] H. Wu, Y. Chang, J. Wu, J. Lin, I. Lin, C.S. Chen, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 5 (2015) 4154–4163.
- [33] M.A.A. Aziz, A.A. Jalil, S. Triwahyono, A. Ahmad, *Green Chem.* 17 (2015) 2647–2663.
- [34] A. Westermann, B. Azambre, M.C. Bacariza, I. Graça, M.F. Ribeiro, J.M. Lopes, C. Henriques, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 174–175 (2015) 120–125.
- [35] S. Tada, R. Kikuchi, A. Takagaki, T. Sugawara, S.T. Oyama, K. Urasaki, S. Satokawa, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 140–141 (2013) 258–264.
- [36] Q. Pan, J. Peng, S. Wang, S. Wang, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 4 (2014) 502–509.
- [37] Q. Pan, J. Peng, T. Sun, S. Wang, S. Wang, *Catal. Commun.* 45 (2014) 74–78.
- [38] M.R. Prairie, A. Renken, J.G. Highfield, K.R. Thampi, M. Gratzel, *J. Catal.* 129 (1991) 130–144.
- [39] G. Hu, L. Zhu, A. Jia, X. Hu, G. Xie, J. Lu, M. Luo, *Appl. Spectrosc.* 66 (2012) 122–127.
- [40] D. Cornu, H. Guesmi, J.-M. Krafft, H. Laumon-Pernot, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 116 (2012) 6645–6654.
- [41] W. Wang, S.P. Wang, X.B. Ma, J.L. Gong, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 40 (2011) 3703–3727.
- [42] M. Marwood, R. Doepper, A. Renken, *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* 151 (1997) 223–246.
- [43] S. Tada, O.J. Ochieng, R. Kikuchi, T. Haneda, H. Kameyama, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 39 (2014) 10090–10100.
- [44] S.C. Dantas, J.C. Escritori, R.R. Soares, C.E. Hori, *Chem. Eng. J.* 156 (2010) 380–387.
- [45] A. Parmaliana, F. Arena, F. Frusteri, N. Giordano, *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans.* 86 (1990) 2663–2669.
- [46] Q. Liu, J. Gao, M. Zhang, H. Li, F. Gu, G. Xu, Z. Zhong, F. Su, *RSC Adv.* 4 (2014) 16094–16103.
- [47] D.W. Southmayd, C. Contescu, J.A. Schwarz, *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans.* 89 (1993) 2075–2083.
- [48] S. Takenaka, S. Sato, R. Takahashi, T. Sodesawa, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 5 (2003) 4968–4973.